

### MEMBER COMPANIES

Bayer CropScience Clean Harbors Environmental Services Eastman Chemical Company Heritage Thermal Services INV Nylon Chemicals Americas, LLC Ross Incineration Services, Inc. The Dow Chemical Company Veolia ES Technical Solutions, LLC Westlake US 2, LLC

### GENERATOR MEMBERS

Eli Lilly and Company Formosa Plastics Corporation, USA 3M

### ASSOCIATE MEMBERS

AECOM Alliance Source Testing LLC **B3** Systems Civil & Environmental Consultants, Inc. Coterie Environmental, LLC Envitech, Inc. Eurofins TestAmerica Focus Environmental, Inc. Franklin Engineering Group, Inc. Montrose Environmental Group, Inc. Ramboll Spectrum Environmental Solutions LLC Strata-G, LLC TEConsulting, LLC Trinity Consultants W.L. Gore and Associated, Inc. Wood, PLC

## INDIVIDUAL MEMBERS

Ronald E. Bastian, PE Ronald O. Kagel, PhD

# ACADEMIC MEMBERS

(Includes faculty from:)

Clarkson University Colorado School of Mines Lamar University Louisiana State University Mississispi State University New Jersey Institute of Technology University of California – Berkeley University of Dayton University of Maryland University of Maryland University of Utah

43330 Junction Plaza, Suite 164-641 Ashburn, VA 20147

Phone: 703-431-7343 E-mail: mel@crwi.org Web Page: <u>http://www.crwi.org</u> U. S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20460

# Attn: Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OLEM-2023-0085

The Coalition for Responsible Waste Incineration (CRWI) appreciates the opportunity to submit comments on the *Definition of Solid Waste Applicable to Corrective Action for Releases From Solid Waste Management Units*; Proposed rule. 89 FR 8,598 (February 8, 2024). CRWI is a trade association comprised of 30 members representing companies that own and operate hazardous waste combustors and companies that provide equipment and services to the combustion industry.

On February 8, 2024, EPA published two proposed rules. One would modify the definition of hazardous waste as applicable to the Corrective Action Program (89 FR 8,598) and the other would add nine per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) to the list of hazardous constituents in 40 CFR 262 Appendix VIII (89 FR 8,606). Although these are two separate actions, to understand the potential impacts, they must be considered together.

In the preamble of the proposal to modify the definition of hazardous waste (89 FR 8,602), EPA states that it does not believe that making these additions will impose additional requirements on facilities. This is true only if the current action does not apply to units already in the Corrective Action Program. Even if that is the case, it will have a significant impact on any future solid waste management units.

EPA also states that it does not expect an increase in permit conditions to address corrective actions as a result of these changes. CRWI does not believe this to be correct either. Taken together, these two rules, should they become final as proposed, could require every site in the Corrective Action Program to potentially modify their process. At the least, these actions will require additional testing or documentation to show that none of the nine compounds are/could be present. This may be a difficult task simply because of the ubiquitous nature of the nine new compounds to be added to Appendix VIII compounds

(https://www.epa.gov/pfas/pfas-explained). If any of the nine

# CRWI comments – Definition of Solid Waste Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OLEM-2023-0085 March 26, 2024

compounds are found, it could require potential modification of every step within the Corrective Action Program including re-opening sites that have been closed. CRWI does not see how EPA can make the statement that no additional permit conditions will result from the proposed changes. While it may be true that the changes in the definition of solid waste proposed rule will not directly make any changes, the combination of the change in the definitions coupled with the addition of nine compounds will have a significant impact on the Corrective Action Program.

CRWI would like to address two additional points. One is that the Agency is not proposing guidance on how this proposed rule will be incorporated into past, current and future permits. CRWI agrees with leaving this out of the final rule because such decisions should continue to be left to the local permit writers. The second is that the Agency should make clear in the final rule that an investigation of a current Corrective Action Program permit should only be undertaken if there is known or suspected contamination.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit comment. If you have any questions, please contact me at (703-431-7343 or <u>mel@crwi.org</u>).

Sincerely yours,

Mehn Eken

Melvin E. Keener, Ph.D. Executive Director

cc: B. Foster, EPA